



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/575,776	05/22/2000	Cheryl Henry	H546.12-0001	2785

28020 7590 10/01/2002

GRAY, PLANT, MOOTY, MOOTY & BENNETT, P.A.
P.O. BOX 2906
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0906

EXAMINER

VORTMAN, ANATOLY

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2835

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/575,776	Applicant(s) HENRY, CHERYL	
	Examiner Anatoly Vortman	Art Unit 2835	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Primary Examiner Anatoly Vortman /AU 2835. (3)_____.

(2) Mr. Peter Forrest, Reg. No. 33,235. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 30 September 2002 .

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____ .

Claim(s) discussed: 1,6 and 13 .

Identification of prior art discussed: US/5,566,290 to Silverbrook .

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

ANATOLY VORTMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

A. Vortman, 09/30/02

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The main thrust of the discussion was directed to the claim's recitations directed to the method of programming a processor, i.e. "to read and communicate only file information".

The Examiner restated his position that in the apparatus claims the aforementioned recitation (a functional recitation) is directed only to a method of programming (i.e. method of using) said processor and does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from the prior art apparatus, since the Silverbrook ('290) device contains all of the structural elements as claimed in the instant application.

Also, the Examiner directed the Applicant's attention to the fact, that in order to be given patentable weight, a functional recitation must be supported by recitation in the claim of sufficient structure to warrant the presence of the functional language.

A. Vortman
09/20/02

ANATOLY VORTMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER